The next phase of robotic and human deep space exploration missions requires high performance, high power solar electric propulsion systems for large-scale science missions and cargo transportation. Aerojet Rocketdyne’s Advanced Electric Propulsion System (AEPS) program will complete development and qualification of a 13kW flight EP system to support NASA exploration. The first use of the AEPS is planned for the NASA Power & Propulsion Element, which is the first element of NASA’s cis-lunar Gateway. The flight AEPS system includes a magnetically shielded long-life Hall thruster, power processing unit (PPU), and xenon flow controller (XFC). The Hall thruster, originally developed and demonstrated by NASA’s Glenn Research Center and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, operates at input powers up to 12.5kW while providing a specific impulse over 2600s at an input voltage of 600V. The power processor is designed to accommodate an input voltage range of 95 to 140V, consistent with operation beyond the orbit of Mars. The integrated system is continuously throttleable between 3 and 13.5kW. The program has completed testing of the Technology Development Units and is progressing into the Engineering Development Unit test phase and the final design phase to Critical Design Review (CDR). This paper will present the high power AEPS system capabilities, overall program and design status and the latest test results for the 13kW flight system development as well as the plans for the development and qualification effort of the EP string.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AEPS</td>
<td>Advanced Electric Propulsion System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Aerojet Rocketdyne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>Critical Design Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMC</td>
<td>Discharge Master Controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSU</td>
<td>Discharge Supply Module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDU</td>
<td>Engineering Development Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETU</td>
<td>Engineering Test Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPGA</td>
<td>Field Programmable Gate Array</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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I. Introduction

The next phase of robotic and human deep space exploration missions will be enhanced by high performance, high power solar electric propulsion systems for large-scale science missions and cargo transportation. Recent studies for NASA’s Human Exploration and Operations Mission Directorate (HEOMD) and Science Mission Directorate (SMD) have demonstrated that SEP capability, with its substantially higher specific impulse \( I_{sp} \), can be enabling for both near term and future architectures and science missions.\(^1\) A high-power Solar Electric Propulsion (SEP) element is integral to NASA’s Artemis lunar exploration program, illustrated in Figure 2, which represents an approach to establish an affordable evolutionary human exploration of Mars\(^2\). The Lunar Gateway is a key element in this effort and will be used to demonstrate the capability of high power solar electric propulsion. A part of this Lunar Gateway is the Power and Propulsion Element (PPE) being developed by Maxar Technologies\(^3\), which will employ SEP and demonstrate the AEPS thruster strings as part of its mission.

![Figure 1. Maxar PPE](image)

The development of a 13.5 kW Hall thruster system, led by the NASA Glenn Research Center (GRC) and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), began with the maturation of a high-power Hall thruster and power processing unit internal to NASA. This technology development work has since transitioned to Aerojet Rocketdyne via a competitive procurement selection for the Advanced Electric Propulsion System (AEPS) contract. The AEPS contract includes the design, development, and qualification of a 13.5 kW Hall thruster electric propulsion system, which is baselined on the PPE spacecraft and will be employed as a demonstration of the capabilities of high power Solar Electric Propulsion.
The AEPS System is an EP string that consists of a magnetically shielded Hall thruster, a Power Processing Unit (PPU), a Xenon Flow Controller (XFC), and associated harnessing. The PPU receives up to 13.5 kW of power to control the thruster output and operations. The 12.5kW Hall thruster uses xenon propellant and power from the PPU to provide over 580 mN of thrust. The XFC is a low pressure flow system that is controlled by the PPU. An overview of the electric propulsion (EP) string and how it interfaces with a notional spacecraft is shown in Figure 3. The AEPS program has completed the System Requirement Review (SRR); the EP string, thruster, PPU and XFC Preliminary Design Review (PDR); engineering model fabrication and is in the process of the development test campaign.

Figure 3. EP String Definition

Legend:
REU: Remote Engineering Unit
TGA: Thruster Gimbal Assembly
FT: Flight Thruster
XFC: Xenon Flow Controller
PPU: Power Processing Unit
PMA: Propellant Management Assembly
28V: Low Voltage Bus

Figure 2. NASA Artemis Program Phase One
The AEPS Hall thruster is based upon the 12.5 kW Hall Effect Rocket with Magnetic Shielding (HERMeS), shown in Figure 4, that was originally developed and demonstrated by NASA GRC and JPL. The thruster operates at input powers up to 12.5 kW while providing a specific impulse of over 2600 s at a discharge voltage of 600 V. The thruster design resulted in an estimated life of 50,000 hours enabled by magnetic shielding which was first demonstrated by Aerojet Rocketdyne and JPL on the BPT-4000 (XR-5). NASA continues to perform further development testing of the HERMeS Technology Development Units (TDUs) including long duration wear testing which began in Oct. 2017 and collected information on erosion rates. Additionally, NASA has performed environmental testing and cathode development in order to better understand implications for spacecraft accommodations and mitigate risk for the AEPS program.

The AEPS PPU leverages the work performed by NASA GRC on a brassboard power processor that was utilized in the integration testing of the HERMeS thruster. The brassboard High Power 120/800 V Power Processing Unit (HP 120/800 V PPU) was required to have all of the functionality to operate a Hall thruster, including the auxiliary power, master control board, telemetry, and filters (see Figure 5). The unique aspect of this development was the wide range (95 to 140 V) of the input voltage for the PPU. The test results of the HP 120/800 V 15 kW PPU helped to guide the new design of the AEPS PPU. Additionally, NASA has performed in depth testing of a prototype power module for the AEPS PPU.

Unlike the HCT or PPU, the fidelity of the Xenon Flow Controller (XFC) for AEPS is already at a high Technology Readiness Level (TRL). The AEPS XFC is a derivative of the Xenon Flow Control Module (XFCM), see figure 5, which was previously developed under a NASA contract by VACCO. The XFCM is a highly integrated feed system that accepts unregulated xenon directly from storage tanks and outputs precision, throttleable flow through two independent channels. The XFCM completed qualification testing and was delivered to NASA GRC on 7 June 2012. Aerojet Rocketdyne is currently developing two EDU fidelity units to complete the development testing of the AEPS EP string.

AEPS is a NASA contract from the Space Technology Mission Directorate (STMD) that was competitively-selected and consists of the development of an Engineering Development Unit (EDU) EP string with an option for qualification of a flight system. The Maxar Technologies PPE program will also procure two flight systems in order to support their objectives in regards to the demonstration of Solar Electric Power. The AEPS program was awarded to Aerojet Rocketdyne on April 28 of 2016. In execution of this program, there is close collaboration between Aerojet Rocketdyne, NASA GRC, and JPL. The industry AEPS team includes two Aerojet Rocketdyne sites, Redmond and Los Angeles, as well as ZIN Technologies, who is providing elements of the PPU, and VACCO, who is providing the...
XFC. The management of the contract is being led by the NASA Glenn Research Center and testing is conducted at NASA GRC and at JPL.

Originally presented at the 2017 IEPC conference\textsuperscript{11}, this paper provides an update on the status of the AEPS program, including updates to the thruster and PPU, as well as a summary on the planned development test campaign. This paper will present the high power AEPS capabilities, overall program and design status and the latest test results for the 13.5kW flight system development.

II. System Architecture

The primary design objective for the system architecture is to provide a high performance propulsion system that efficiently utilizes both electrical power and propellant. The system provides the capability to throttle between 3kW and 13.5kW of system input power providing a range in discharge voltage between 300V and 600V. The expected performance for AEPS is summarized in Table 1 below. While the system will be qualified down to power levels of 3kW, this power level is outside of nominal operation and was not included in the table. The required system input power and propellant flow rates will be determined by the throttle set points commanded by the spacecraft. Significant effort has been focused on maximizing the electrical efficiency of each component of the propulsion string and ensuring repeatable performance throughout the life of the mission.

A block diagram of the AEPS string is shown in Figure 7 including external interfaces to the spacecraft. The system receives high voltage power for thruster operation and low voltage power for housekeeping and XFC operation from the spacecraft power buses. The spacecraft command and data handling bus provides commands to the system and receives telemetry from the system. The system receives pressure regulated xenon propellant from the spacecraft xenon feed system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EP String Total Input Power</th>
<th>Discharge Voltage</th>
<th>Thrust</th>
<th>Specific Impulse</th>
<th>Total System Efficiency</th>
<th>System Mass†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.5 kW</td>
<td>600 V</td>
<td>589 mN</td>
<td>2600 s</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>123 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1 kW</td>
<td>500 V</td>
<td>519 mN</td>
<td>2400 s</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.9 kW</td>
<td>400 V</td>
<td>462 mN</td>
<td>2200 s</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.7 kW</td>
<td>300 V</td>
<td>386 mN</td>
<td>1800 s</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{†}Excludes spacecraft cable harnesses

Table 1. Expected performance of Advanced Electric Propulsion System (Beginning of Life)
The AEPS harnessing between the components is designed to allow easier spacecraft integration and gimbaling of the thruster by dividing the PPU-to-thruster power between two harnesses, thereby reducing the thickness and stiffness as compared to using a single harness. One harness is dedicated to the primary discharge power. The other is dedicated to thruster auxiliary power, which includes power required by the cathode heater, cathode keeper, and electromagnets.

Propellant flow rate is controlled and regulated by the XFC via the PPU. Power from the PPU is provided to the XFC on a single harness. The PPU provides the necessary current to open and close the XFC latch valve. The PPU also provides the necessary voltages to control the size of the orifice in the piezoelectric anode and cathode valves, which regulate the propellant flow rate to the anode and cathode propellant lines on the thruster.

The XFC and PPU are maintained within their required thermal environments via thermal conduction through temperature-controlled mounting surfaces on the spacecraft. The AEPS architecture allows installation of the XFC inside or outside of the spacecraft. The thruster is designed to be thermally uncoupled from the spacecraft. The thruster is equipped with integrated heaters and temperature sensors that may be operated by the spacecraft thermal management system to maintain the thruster above its minimum qualified temperature limits. This allows the thruster to be located on a gimbal or boom far away from temperature-controlled surfaces and minimize plasma impingement on spacecraft surfaces.

The system architecture includes test connectors to facilitate electrical functional tests of the XFC and thruster. These functional tests may be performed during spacecraft integration and testing without requiring additional mating.
and de-mating of the harnesses. The architecture also provides the spacecraft with accurate measurements of anode and cathode propellant flow rates and all relevant voltages and currents, as shown in the two columns of telemetry in Table 2. The EP string has 8 modes of operation which are defined in Table 3 and Figure 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unpowered</td>
<td>All PPU outputs off and XFC valves closed. No communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boot</td>
<td>Only mode that can accept software updates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby</td>
<td>Bus inputs are in range, communications available, all outputs off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initialization</td>
<td>Events such as system bakeout, cathode conditioning, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>Startup, steady-state thrusting, throttling, shutdown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>Allows user to independently control each output of the PPU.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Code maintenance activities such as parameter updates, data table updates, and memory verification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe</td>
<td>Places string in a safe state. All outputs off, communications available.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Analog Telemetry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analog Telemetry</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cathode heater DC current</td>
<td>High voltage bus input DC voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode heater DC voltage</td>
<td>High voltage bus input DC current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode keeper DC current</td>
<td>Low voltage bus input DC voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode keeper DC voltage</td>
<td>Low voltage but input DC current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner Magnet DC Current</td>
<td>Discharge current ripple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner Magnet DC Voltage</td>
<td>Discharge voltage ripple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Magnet DC Current</td>
<td>PPU Housekeeping DC Voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Magnet DC Voltage</td>
<td>XFC Anode-Leg flow control device Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge DC Current</td>
<td>XFC Anode-Leg Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge DC Voltage</td>
<td>XFC Cathode-Leg flow control device Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode-to-PPU-chassis DC voltage</td>
<td>XFC Cathode-Leg Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode-to-thruster-body DC current</td>
<td>XFC drive currents and voltages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPU Temperatures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. AEPS Modes of Operation

Figure 8. AEPS Modes of Operation
For nominal thrusting operations, when power is applied, the system performs initialization checks and waits for spacecraft commands to perform requested operations. The system initiates startup following receipt of the spacecraft command. The initialization sequences are performed only once during the initial operation on-orbit. They include venting of the propellant feed system, bakeout of the thruster, and cathode conditioning. The operation mode consists of four states shown in Figure 9. The system can transition between any two operational states when commanded by the spacecraft. The “Heater Only” and “Keeper Only” states maintain requisite cathode temperatures for efficient electron emission and keep the EP string in a state of readiness for rapid thruster start. While in the “Thruster Control” state, the system can maintain a constant throttle level, perform a thruster start, throttle to a new operating point, or shut down.

In the event of anomalous operation, AEPS will self-protect through an integral fault monitoring system. If telemetry strays outside of the expected range, the PPU will notify the spacecraft of the anomaly. The spacecraft has the option to maintain the current operating point or change operating points in an effort to resolve the anomaly. If telemetry strays too far from its expected range, the PPU will notify the spacecraft of a fault and then perform an automated shutdown of the system to avoid potential damage. The design of the fault protection system allows for reconfiguration throughout the mission.

The major control loop within AEPS is focused on achieving the desired thruster discharge current commanded by the spacecraft. The PPU does not control discharge current directly. Instead, it regulates propellant flow rate to the thruster. While the electrical interactions between the PPU and thruster are very fast, the propellant flow interactions between the XFC and thruster are very slow. Transients associated with these interactions may occur over several seconds or less than a millisecond. Designing a control loop that provides the prompt command response desired by the mission and stability against a wide spectrum of perturbations is challenging, especially when the system is designed to allow integration on a variety of spacecraft configurations.

![Figure 9. AEPS Operation Sequences](image-url)
To ensure successful development of the AEPS flight system, Aerojet Rocketdyne is developing a time dependent system performance model to address the interactions between components, design adjustments, production tolerances as well as the major interactions with the spacecraft. The AEPS system performance model has been designed to account for all these interactions in a way that allows evaluation of the sensitivity of the system to expected changes over the planned mission as well as to assess the impacts of normal component and assembly variability during the production phase of the program. The results will be used to address the component requirements to mitigate driving system cost or overly constraining the development program. Finally, the model will be available to quickly troubleshoot any future unforeseen development challenges.

System level hot-fire testing will be performed on development hardware in early 2020. Qualification testing will be performed on two strings of production units starting in mid-2021. As part of the current program, AR has developed and is validating an automated test system specifically for integrated string testing of AEPS.

III. Thruster

The AEPS thruster design derives from the NASA HERMeS Technology Development Unit (TDU). The HERMeS TDU is a magnetically shielded, 12.5 kW thruster with a center-mounted cathode and graphite pole covers and has been described in previous papers. The cathode is electrically tied to the conductive pole covers of the TDU. The HERMeS TDU has demonstrated operation at discharge currents in excess of 30A and discharge voltages up to 800 V. With this novel electrical configuration and effective magnetic shielding, the TDU has demonstrated the low erosion rates necessary to meet the mission requirement of 23,000 hours of operation. By maintaining key gas distributor and magnetic circuit design features of the HERMeS design, the performance capability of the AEPS thruster is expected to be in family with the NASA HERMeS TDUs, which achieved total efficiencies up to 68% and specific impulses up to 2900 s.

Aerojet Rocketdyne has performed a series of design modifications to the HERMeS thruster to improve its ability to meet environmental and spacecraft interface requirements, as previously described in the 2017 IEPC paper. Some requirements have been modified, as the primary mission for the AEPS demonstration evolved from the ARRM to the PPE mission. The most notable changes are a 50% reduction of shock requirement at 100 Hz, relaxation of the thrust vector alignment requirement and the cathode design has been modified to improve manufacturability (see Figure 10). Additionally, changes were made to facilitate spacecraft pointing.

![CAD image of AEPS Hall Current Thruster showing integrated harness assemblies](image_url)

**Figure 10. CAD image of AEPS Hall Current Thruster showing integrated harness assemblies**
Tooling and special test equipment to support thruster assembly and shipment, as well as component and system level testing has been developed and fabricated. This support equipment will be verified during manufacturing and test of two Engineering Test Unit (ETU) thrusters. The first development unit, ETU-1, has been assembled and is in hot fire testing at JPL. Figure 11 shows this thruster in the assembly area at our Redmond, WA facility prior to being shipped to JPL.

The second development unit, ETU-2, is currently in final assembly at Redmond. Testing of the two thrusters is planned to take place in Redmond, at NASA GRC, at JPL, and at the Aerospace Corporation. One ETU thruster will undergo hot fire performance, dynamic and thermal qualification level environmental testing, and radiated emissions testing; and the other will undergo hot fire performance, plasma characterization, and wear testing. Qualification testing of the first production units is planned to begin in mid-2021.

IV. Power Processing Unit

The AEPS PPU provides discharge power to the thruster as well as system control and other associated functions. The PPU provides up to 20.8 A of discharge current over a variable voltage output range of 300 to 600 V. Other features include XFC control, heater power, keeper power, inner magnet power, outer magnet power, telemetry, system health monitoring, and spacecraft communications.

As was presented at the 2017 IEPC conference, the Discharge Supply Unit (DSU) was divided into six power modules. After early testing, the architecture was optimized which resulted in a DSU with four power modules. The present PPU design provides for over 95% efficiency for the DSU with an input voltage at 95V to 140V.8

The PPU can provide over 13 kW of regulated power to the thruster. The PPU has a wide output operating range, which is illustrated in Figure 12. The green area is the system specified operation range and the blue areas are the capability. Additionally, the PPU controls the thruster output and operations. The PPU commands the XFC to provide the flow required to achieve the spacecraft commanded thrust within 1.25%. The PPU also contains advanced health monitoring and fault protection based on the telemetry listed in Table-2 above. The output ripple telemetry offers a unique set of HCT health monitoring by reporting voltage end current peak-peak and RMS values, which can be used to diagnose thruster behavior.
The Discharge Supply Unit (DSU) has four individually controllable Power Modules (PM), which can provide a degraded mode of operation in the event of an individual PM failure. Additionally, each PM has a Primary Over-Current (POC) protection circuit mitigating the effects of radiation-induced events. The programmable current and fault protection limits of the DSU allow the mission planners to adjust as needed. The heater power supply is sized to provide enough power to activate a LaB6 cathode. These design features provide significantly more EP system health monitoring as well as the control parameters to effect the system heal and performance for a long life mission.

V. Xenon Flow Controller

VACCO has been providing electric propulsion components and feed systems for over 20 years. In order to minimize the size and mass of future xenon feed systems, VACCO developed and qualified a Xenon Flow Control Module (XFCM) based on their Chemically Etched Micro Systems (ChEMS™) technology. This XFCM became the basis for the AEPS XFC.

VACCO teamed with Aerojet Rocketdyne on AEPS with responsibility for the Xenon Flow Controller (XFC), an application-engineered version of VACCO’s qualified XFCM. The result is a highly-integrated, compact, low-mass subsystem that provides:

- 10 Micron propellant filtration
- A Micro Latch Valve for propellant isolation
- Independently throttleable flow to both the Anode and Cathode
- Flow rate feedback

With minor design adjustments the XFC is capable of supporting a wide range of flow regimes and electric thrusters well beyond 12.5 kW. The AEPS XFC weighs less than 1.8 kg and measures less than 8 x 8 x 20 cm, with one inlet tube and two outlet tubes. It consists of two major sub-assemblies; a manifold and an enclosure.
The manifold is all-welded against external leakage with inlet and outlet tubes welded to the outside and the functional components mounted on the inside. Interconnecting flow paths between components are machined into the manifold eliminating the need for tubing. The enclosure provides environmental protection for the electrical components and four mounting holes for structural attachment. An electrical connector provides the electrical interface to the PPU.

Propellant enters the XFC through an inlet tube equipped with an integral 10 micron etched disc filter. Flow then passes through a micro latch valve that, when latched closed, provides the first interrupt against internal leakage. When latched open, flow from the micro latch valve splits into anode and cathode branches. Both branches are identical except for their flow restrictors. Each branch contains a proportional flow control valve (PFCV), a 50kRad tolerant pressure and temperature transducer, and an integrated 40 micron outlet filter/flow restrictor. Flow is controlled by modulating the PFCV to regulate pressure upstream of the flow restrictor. When unpowered, the normally-closed PFCV closes and seals, providing a second interrupt against internal leakage for each flow branch.
Inlet pressure is regulated by the spacecraft to a nominal 40 psia, and the XFC can control Xenon flow with inlet pressures up to 100 psia.

The XFC provides a flow rate of 8 to 24 mg/second of xenon with the ability to set the cathode to anode flow split through independent control of the anode and cathode proportional flow control valves. Cathode flow will be between 5 and 10 percent of the anode flow. The XFC design has less than $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ sccs of internal helium leakage and less than $1 \times 10^{-6}$ sccs of external leakage.

Each XFC will be calibrated to provide a flow rate uncertainty of ±1.5% at the inlet pressure of 40 psia and over a temperature range of 20°C to 45°C. Development testing of an XFCM in April 2018 using a primary flow meter provided confidence that the XFC nominal flow rate uncertainty will be better than ±1.5% with in-space zeroing of the pressure transducers to eliminate zero drift error due to thermal and radiation exposure over life. The XFC design has already been qualified to vibration and shock levels in excess of the AEPS vibration levels of 8.1 grms for acceptance testing, 11.4 grms for qualification and shock levels of up to 2000 g's.

The XFC is specifically designed for the long durations required by future exploration missions. To that end, the internal components are designed for infinite fatigue life. Component fatigue life has been verified by analysis, except for the proportional actuators, which will be verified by third-party testing. The XFC is capable of a throughput greater than 1770 kg of xenon. Two EDUs will be fabricated and one will go through qualification-like testing in 2019. Full qualification testing will be performed on the first flight production unit in 2021.

VI. Development and Qualification Status

Aerojet Rocketdyne’s approach to hardware development testing for the AEPS program is based on the model that was successfully executed recently on several AR NASA programs. In addition to design capability assessment, the use of flight-like “pathfinder” hardware is intended to identify manufacturing, assembly, and test issues in build paperwork, documentation, inspection/verification methods, tooling, Special Test Equipment (STE), and part/assembly interferences prior to the final design phase. This allows the program to incorporate corrections and lessons learned in these areas and to resolve any critical design related issues during the final design phase prior to qualification. This approach has been shown to significantly reduce schedule risk during qualification, when all documentation has been released and any issue or nonconformance must be worked under formal quality control procedures. When qualification and production are planned to occur in parallel as on the AEPS program, this approach becomes of critical importance as any production issue or redesign activity could directly impact the flight article delivery dates.

Figure 18 shows a summary of the objectives and scope of the AEPS development test plan. The EDU hardware used for development testing is identical in form, fit, and function to the final flight design, but is designed, built and tested under engineering controls with potentially less-than-flight level components and material traceability, built to development level drawings and work instructions, and controlled under development level quality assurance procedures. This allows for more rapid and streamlined manufacturing, assembly, and testing efforts. An ETU PPU is also being built in parallel with the EDU PPU. The ETU PPU is functionally equivalent to the EDU PPU but is not packaged in a flight-like enclosure. This unit will be used for bench testing to verify electrical functional requirements such that any issues can be uncovered as soon as possible in the development cycle. It will also be used to power the development EP string used for wear test/life evaluation, as functionality is the driving PPU requirement for this particular test sequence. Development testing will be conducted at the component level (EDU cathode), subsystem level (PPU, HCT, XFC), and system (AEPS string) level. In order to obtain data to support final design and analysis and the program schedule for qualification and production, two sets of development hardware are being built to be
tested essentially simultaneously – one to support environmental testing and design capability assessment, and one to support wear testing and life assessment.

![Figure 18. AEPS Development Test Objectives and Hardware](image)

Figure 19 shows the development test logic for the AEPS program. The program has already completed early system integration testing of prototype hardware to validate key interfaces and performance requirements. In addition, a breadboard level PPU has been assembled and tested with the AEPS Special Test Equipment (STE) to evaluate the interfaces and functionality of both the PPU and the STE. The STE consists of a Core Console, which simulates the spacecraft interface to the AEPS; and a Load Console, which simulates the thruster firing. A photograph of the breadboard PPU being tested with AEPS STE#1 is shown in Figure 20. Multiple elements of the hardware strings for primary development testing are in work or completed at this time, to support a development testing schedule that runs from August 2019 to December 2020. The ETU-1 HCT is shown in Figure 21 prior to integration for hot fire testing at JPL.

Results of the environmental and wear test campaigns will be used to inform final design and analysis efforts up to the AEPS system CDR in July 2020, including model anchoring and validation, validation, specification updates, production drawing and manufacturing planning finalization and release, and technical risk mitigation/retirement. By the conclusion of the critical design phase (CDR), the program will have demonstrated AEPS string performance and stability through modeling, analysis and test, conducted the initial life validation tests, and confirmed the key spacecraft integration requirements. In parallel with the final design and analysis phase, long lead materials are being ordered for the qualification and flight AEPS strings, with production assembly and test activities currently concluding during the summer and fall of 2021.
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Figure 19. AEPS Development Test Logic

Figure 20. Breadboard PPU in Test (STE in Background)
The AEPS approach to qualification testing includes two production qualification strings. Two qualification strings rather than one are used in order to compress the overall duration of the qualification program to support completion prior to launch of the first flight hardware shipset. Similar to the development testing approach, one qualification string is used for environmental test verification, and one qualification string is used for life (wear) test verification. Upon completion of the qualification test campaign, the test data together with design and analysis results from the final design phase are used to complete formal verification of all AEPS system requirements. Life verification of the AEPS string is planned to be accomplished via a combination of a 4500 hour system qualification life test, component level life tests, Failure Modes and Effects Analysis results, and analytical extrapolations. Qualification verification activities are currently planned to be completed in mid-2022. Figure 22 shows a summary of the objectives and scope of the qualification test plan, and Figure 23 shows the qualification test plan logic.

Figure 21. AEPS ETU-1 Hall Current Thruster prior to Integration for Hot Fire Test

Figure 22. AEPS Qualification Test Objectives

**Objectives**
- Complete formal verification of component and system environmental and life requirements
  - PPU
  - HCT
  - XFC
  - System
- Determine if the system has any operational restrictions over life

**Hardware/Process Maturity**
- Flight production hardware built to formally released and configuration controlled drawings and work instructions
- Full material traceability
- Qualified processes
- Formal quality control during assembly and test

**Test Scope**

**Environmental Testing: Qual String #1**
- Flight production PPU, HCT, XFC subsystem acceptance tests
- Subsystem level qual vibe, shock
- Subsystem level TVAC/EMI/EMC
- System level hot fire test
- Thruster radiated emissions

**Wear Testing: Qual String #2**
- Flight production PPU, HCT, XFC component acceptance test
- System level hot fire test
- System level wear test

**Parallel Env & Wear Testing Yields Results Prior to First Launch**

**Figure 23. AEPS Qualification Test Plan Logic**
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VII. Conclusion

The AEPS program has completed the design phase and has transitioned to the development test phase. The component teams have incorporated design improvements to their respective designs based on early breadboard testing and preliminary analysis. Engineering Development Unit hardware testing is underway with extensive component testing and system level operation planned for the remainder of 2019 and early 2020. NASA continues to investigate the performance of the HERMeS thruster in order to inform the final flight design of the AEPS thruster. Based on the test campaign and the NASA HERMeS test data, the program will finalize the flight design and a CDR will then be held to document the final design and analysis. Fabrication of the qualification units will occur upon completion of the CDR. The program is on track to complete the component and system qualification testing in 2022 as well as delivery of two flight EP strings.
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